

QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Abolfazl Shohani	Date of Recording	22-Feb-2025		
Date of Birth - Age	08-Aug-1999 - 25.54	Gender	Male		
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Dinarvand		
Initial Diagnosis	Aggressive-Anxiety-Chronic Panic-Obsessive Thought-Pessimism				
Current Medication		-			

Dr Dinarvand

Summary Report









Z-score Information



























Compatibility with Mood Swing



Arousal Level




APF

Posterior APF-EC= 09.75 Posterior APF-EO= 10.50


O

Absolute Power Coherence

Compatibility with Anxiety



TMS Responsibility



Cognitive Performance




To investigate QEEG-based predicting medication response, please refer to the Report.






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage		
Eye	2	Muscle	1	0	
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
0					
EEG Quality	У	good		Total Recording Time Remaining 250.08 sec	

Denoising Information (EO)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	3	Muscle	3	0	
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
0		0			
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining 242.27 sec	





Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD

Compare to Mood Disorders Database





















Compare to Adult ADHD Database

























EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis





EEG Compatibility with Mood Swing Diagnosis *






Linear
Non-linear
Connectivity

^{*} This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).





Depression and Adult ADHD Diagnosis Probabiliy



Cognitive Functions Assessment



Arousal Level Detection








rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 10.00

Posterior APF= 10.50


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.75

Posterior APF= 09.75

EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking









🚃 Z Score Summary Information (EC) 🥟




Z Score Summary Information (EO)




E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)






E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level













Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮
















Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮
















Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢





Relative Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢

