





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Pjm Mvh	Date of Recording	2025-03-13				
Date of Birth - Age	1970-03-21 - 55.2	Gender	Male				
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Zand				
Initial Diagnosis	Clinical assessment						
Current Medication		-					

Dr Zand

# Summary Report













**Absolute Power** 


Relative Power



Compatibility with Depression



Compatibility with Mood Swing



Arousal Level




APF


Posterior APF-EC= 10.25 Posterior APF-EO= 10.25



Compatibility with Anxiety



TMS Responsibility



Cognitive Performance




To investigate QEEG-based predicting medication response, please refer to the Report.






### **Denoising Information (EC)**



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	0	Muscle	1			
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
0						
EEG Qual	lity	bad		<b>Total Recording Time Remaining</b> 265.29 sec		

### **Denoising Information (EO)**



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage		
Eye	1	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
0					
EEG Quality bad		bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	229.83 sec





# Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD

### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**





















### **Compare to Adult ADHD Database**

























### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**







### **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**





### **EEG Compatibility with Mood Swing Diagnosis \***





<sup>\*</sup> This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).





# Depression and Adult ADHD Diagnosis Probabiliy



### Cognitive Functions Asessment



### Arousal Level Detection








#### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


#### Participants Information




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






# APF(EO)




# APF(EC)




**Frontal APF= 09.92** 

Posterior APF= 10.25


# EEG Spectra



# Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



# Alpha Blocking







### 🚃 Z Score Summary Information (EC) 🥟

























### Z Score Summary Information (EO)

Absolute Power Relative Power





































### E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)






### E.O.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)





# Arousal Level












# Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮















### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮
















# Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢



### Relative Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢

