



## QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4



### Report Description



### Personal & Clinical Data

|                     |                       |                    |                              |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Name                | Saeid Soltani Nejad   | Date of Recording  | 2025-07-28                   |
| Date of Birth - Age | 1994-07-05 - 31.1     | Gender             | Male                         |
| Handedness(R/L)     | Right                 | Source of Referral | Dr Atena Fallah-Psychiatrist |
| Initial Diagnosis   | Depression-Drug Abuse |                    |                              |
| Current Medication  | ES-citalopram         |                    |                              |


Dr Atena Fallah-Psychiatrist

# Summary Report

## EEG Quality



## Z-score Information



## Compatibility with Depression



## Compatibility with Mood Swing




## Arousal Level



## APF

Posterior APF-EC= 09.62


Posterior APF-EO= 09.50



## Compatibility with Anxiety



## TMS Responsibility



## Cognitive Performance




Absolute Power  
Relative Power


To investigate QEEG-based predicting medication response, please refer to the Report.

## Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG



Flat Channels



Rejected Channels



### Number of Eye and Muscle Elements

Eye 1 Muscle 1

### Total Artifact Percentage



EEG Quality bad

### Low Artifact Percentage




### High Artifact Percentage




Total Recording Time Remaining 224.95 sec

## Denoising Information (EO)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG



Flat Channels



Rejected Channels



### Number of Eye and Muscle Elements

Eye 2 Muscle 2

### Total Artifact Percentage



EEG Quality bad

### Low Artifact Percentage




### High Artifact Percentage




Total Recording Time Remaining 124.82 sec

# Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD



## Compare to Mood Disorders Database





## Compare to Adult ADHD Database





## EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis



## EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis



## EEG Compatibility with Mood Swing Diagnosis \*



\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

## Depression and Adult ADHD Diagnosis Probabiliy



## Cognitive Functions Asessment




## Arousal Level Detection




## Pathological Assessment for Substance Abuse

### Relapse Index





### Comorbid Symptoms



**The Relapse graph** shows the relapse index based on a combination of EEG neuromarkers. If the type of substance your patient uses is included in this chart, you can read its relapse rate. **The condition for using this chart is that the patient consumes each substance specified in the chart.** If your patient does not consume each of the substances specified in the chart, the index shown is not valid.

### Substance Abuse Compatibility






**The Compatibility graph** shows the compatibility of the patient's EEG neuromarkers and the alternations that the specific substance causes in the EEG. In other words, this chart indicates that your patient has how percentage of validated neuromarkers due to the use of specific substances.

Using this chart, you can figure out how substances have affected EEG and if multiple drugs were used, which one has the most dominant effect. **If your patient does not consume each of the substances specified in the chart, the index shown is not valid.**

### Functional Problems Source Detection




#### Eyes Closed

Freq = 1 Hz



Brodmann area 10  
Medial Frontal Gyrus  
Superior Frontal Gyrus




Freq = 1 Hz



Brodmann area 21  
Middle Temporal Gyrus



#### Eyes Open

Freq = 0.5 Hz




\*, \* NOT Found \*, \*

Freq = 1.5 Hz



Brodmann area 40  
Inferior Parietal Lobule

## QEEG based predicting medication response



## Explanation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmacoe EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

## Medication Recommendation


These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.

# rTMS Response Prediction


## Network Performance

**Accuracy: 92.1%**  
**Sensitivity: 89.13%**  
**Specificity: 97.47%**


## Participants Information




## Features Information



## Responsibility




## Data Distribution



## About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.


## APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 09.67

Posterior APF= 09.50




## APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.75

Posterior APF= 09.62


## EEG Spectra




## Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




## Alpha Blocking




## Z Score Summary Information (EC)




## Z Score Summary Information (EO)




## E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)




## E.O.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)




## Arousal Level




## Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)



## Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)



## Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO)



## Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

