NPCindex | QEEGhome

npcindex www.npcindex.com 021-44 47 74 67

QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Kosar Ghalavandi	Date of Recording	08-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	19-Sep-2003 - 21.05	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Saemi
Initial Diagnosis	Bipolar Disorder,MDD,Schizophrenia		
Current Medication	Levebel		

Dr Saemi

Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG



Flat Channels

Rejected Channels

Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			
Eye	0	Muscle	0
Total Artifact Percentage			
EEG Quality	good		

Low Artifact Percentage	
High Artifact Percentage	
Total Recording Time Remaining	206.82 sec

Denoising Information (EO)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG



Flat Channels

Rejected Channels

Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			
Eye	0	Muscle	0
Total Artifact Percentage			
EEG Quality	good		

Low Artifact Percentage	
High Artifact Percentage	
Total Recording Time Remaining	199.11 sec

Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	1.00	global
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.50	global
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN	-0.50	LF-MF-C-P-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Left FAA	-0.13	Left FAA	-0.10	Left FAA
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Coherence
Increased Coherence (A, B)	3.00	Increased Coherence	0.00	NAN



Depression Probability

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-3.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Left OAA	-0.00	Left OAA	-0.00	Left OAA
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN



Anxiety Probability

Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis*

Mood Swings Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-3.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	0.00	NAN
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	LT-RT-C-P-O-	0.50	LT-RT-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN	-2.00	Decreased Alpha
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN



Mood Swings Probability

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Arousal Level Detection



Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

Pathological assessment for adult ADHD

Compare to Adult ADHD Database



Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection



QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

Medication Recommendation

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.

Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

rTMS Response Prediction

Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%

Participants Information







Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution



About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.

Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 08.83

Posterior APF= 09.75

APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 08.75

Posterior APF= 09.75

EEG Spectra





Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



Alpha Blocking

Kosar Ghalavandi\Dr Saemi

Z Score Summary Information (EC)



Z Score Summary Information (EO)



E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level



E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)



Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)



Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO)



Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)