





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Hamide Emadi	Date of Recording	22-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	04-Sep-1951 - 73.13	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Kasaei
Initial Diagnosis	OCD		
Current Medication	Medication Free		

Dr Kasaei





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	2	Muscle	0	0	
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quali	ity	bad		Total Recording Time Remaining 99	9.25 sec





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	
Increased global rTheta	0.50	global	
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-RT-C-P-	
Increased rBeta	1.00	LF-MF-RT-C-P-O-	
Left FAA	0.00	NAN	
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence (D,T)	
Increased Coherence (A, B)	2.00	Increased Coherence (A,B)	
depression 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Compatibility	
Depression Probability			


EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *



* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Arousal Level Detection







Pathological assessment for Dementia

Compare to Dementia Database



Dementia Probability

	Dementia Table	EC			
	Feature Name	Threshold	Region		
	Increased rDelta	0.00	NAN		
	Increased rTheta	1.00	LF-RT-P-O-		
	Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN		
	Decreased rBeta	0.00	NAN		
Ir	ncreased T/A Ratio	0.50	0		
Ir	ncreased D/A Ratio	0.00	NAN		
Decrea	sed (D+T+A+B) Coherence	-0.50	Decreased global Coherence		
	dementia 0 10	20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Dementia Compatibility		
	Dementia Probability				


Cognitive Functions







•QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




Features Information





Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




APF(EC)





Frontal APF= 11.75


Posterior APF= 11.25

📥 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮














Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮


















Z Score Summary Information (EC)




E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra



Arousal Level

