



QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4



Report Description




Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Azar Sadeghi	Date of Recording	22-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	24-Dec-1990 - 33.83	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Reyhan Clinic
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety,Memory Check		
Current Medication	Medication Free		


Reyhan Clinic

Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG



Flat Channels




Rejected Channels



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	0	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	
				251.31 sec	

Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00	global
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN
Left FAA	-0.04	Left FAA
Right OAA	0.01	Right OAA
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence (D,T)
Increased Coherence (A, B)	1.00	Increased Coherence (A,B)

depression

0102030405060708090100

Depression Compatibility

Depression Probability

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN
Right FAA	0.00	NAN
Left OAA	0.00	NAN
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.00	NAN

Anxiety


0102030405060708090100

Anxiety Compatibility

Anxiety Probability

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

Mood Swings Table		EC	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00	NAN	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN	
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	




Mood Swing Compatibility


Mood Swings Probability

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection




rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility




Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between rTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.

Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.25

Posterior APF= 09.75


Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EC)



E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)



Arousal Level



EEG Spectra

