



QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4

Report Description



Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Aliasghar Ghadi	Date of Recording	21-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	02-Jul-1955 - 69.3	Gender	Male
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Seddigh
Initial Diagnosis	Depression-Memory Problem		
Current Medication	Medication Free		

Dr Seddigh

Denosing Information (EC)

Raw EEG

Denosed EEG



Flat Channels

Rejected Channels




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	1	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining 226.93 sec	

Denosing Information (EO)

Raw EEG

Denosed EEG



Flat Channels


Rejected Channels



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	1	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining 259.20 sec	


Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis


Depression Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.50	global
Increased global rTheta	2.00	global	2.00	global
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-RT-C-P-	-0.50	MF-RT-C-P-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Left FAA	-0.09	Left FAA	-0.06	Left FAA
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Coherence
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN



Depression Probability

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	O	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Left OAA	-0.16	Left OAA	-0.23	Left OAA
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN



Anxiety Probability

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

Mood Swings Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	O	0.00	NAN
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	LT-RT-C-P-O-	1.00	LF-RF-LT-RT-C-P-O-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha	-0.50	Decreased Alpha
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN


* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Arousal Level Detection



Pathological assessment for Dementia

Compare to Dementia Database




Dementia Probability

Dementia Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased rDelta	0.50	LT-O-	0.00	NAN
Increased rTheta	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	O	0.00	NAN
Decreased rBeta	-1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	-1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-
Increased T/A Ratio	2.00	LF-RF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	1.00	LT-RT-O-
Increased D/A Ratio	0.50	LT-O-	0.00	NAN
Decreased (D+T+A+B) Coherence	-0.50	Decreased global	-0.50	Decreased global


Dementia Compatibility

Dementia Probability

Cognitive Functions



QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation

⚠ Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmacology EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.


rTMS Response Prediction

Network Performance



Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%

Participants Information

Distribution of Gender




Distribution of Age




Features Information

rTMS Response Prediction using Different Features




Responsibility

rTMS Response Prediction



Data Distribution


Distribution of Dataset



About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between rTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.


APF(EO)




APF(EC)




EEG Spectra




Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking




Z Score Summary Information (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EO)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)




Arousal Level




E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)




Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 



Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 



Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO)



Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

