





npcindex @www.npcindex.com \$021-44 47 74 67

# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Soheyla uf	Date of Recording	26-Oct-2024				
Date of Birth - Age	17-Feb-1977 - 47.69	Gender	Female				
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Sahraian				
Initial Diagnosis		MDD					
Current Medication	Medication Free						

Dr Sahraian





# Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage					
Eye 2 Muscle 0		0					
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage					
		0					
EEG Quali	EEG Quality good		<b>Total Recording Time Remaining</b> 229.11 sec				





# Pathological assessment for mood disorders

### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**












### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression Table		EC								
Feature Name	Threshold	d Region								
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00		NAN							
Increased global rTheta	0.00		NAN							
Decreased rDelta	0.00				N/	AN				
Increased rBeta	0.00				N/	AΝ				
Left FAA	-0.02				Left	FAA				
Right OAA	0.00		NAN							
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50		Decreased Coherence (D,T)							
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN								
depression 0	10 20	30	l 40 Depre	l 50 ession Comp	l 60 eatibility	70	1 80	90	100	
Depression Probability										

# **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**







### EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis \*


Mood Swings Table		EC								
Feature Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.50	0.50 RF								
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN								
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha Coherence								
Right FAA	0.00		NAN							
BMD 0 10	1 20	30	40 Mood S	50 Swing Compa	60 atibility	70	I 80	90	100	
Mood Swings Probability										

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

# Cognitive Functions

# Arousal Level Detection










### •QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

### **Explanation**



#### Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


# Participants Information




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






# Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




# APF(EC)





Frontal APF= 09.83


**Posterior APF= 10.75** 

# Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮












### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮


















# Z Score Summary Information (EC)





# E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)






### EEG Spectra









### Arousal Level

