





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Jalile Bani	Date of Recording	27-Oct-2024					
Date of Birth - Age	21-Mar-1950 - 74.6	Gender	Female					
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi					
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Dementia-Headache-Insomnia							
Current Medication	Medication Free							

Dr Masjedi





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye 0 Muscle 0			0				
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage					
EEG Quali	EEG Quality bad		Total Recording Time Remaining 321.19 sec				





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC									
Feature Name	Threshold	Region								
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00				glc	bal				
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN								
Decreased rDelta	-0.50			LI	-RF-MF	-LT-C-P-() -			
Increased rBeta	0.00				N	ΔN				
Left FAA	0.00	NAN								
Right OAA	0.02	Right OAA								
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence (D,T)								
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN								
depression 0	10 20	30	40 Depress	50 sion Compa	60 atibility	70	80	90	100	
	Depression Probability									


EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis








EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *



* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Arousal Level Detection







Pathological assessment for Dementia

Compare to Dementia Database











Dementia Probability

Deme	ntia Table	EC							
Feat	ıre Name	Threshold	Region						
Increa	sed rDelta	0.50	RT						
Increa	sed rTheta	0.00	NAN						
Decrea	sed rAlpha	0.00	NAN						
Decre	ased rBeta	-0.50	LT-RT-C-P-O-						
Increas	ed T/A Ratio	0.00	NAN						
Increase	ed D/A Ratio	0.00	NAN						
Decreased (D+	T+A+B) Coherence	-0.50	Decreased global Coherence						
demo	entia 0 10	20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Dementia Compatibility						
	Dementia Probability								


Cognitive Functions







•QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 09.83

Posterior APF= 09.50

Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🥟




























TBI Severity

0 1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

🚃 Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣

















65%




TBI Probability















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)

ThetaBeta EC 1.1 1.0 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3



EEG Spectra





Arousal Level

