





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Mohammad Kafili	Date of Recording	26-Oct-2024		
Date of Birth - Age	15-Jan-2009 - 15.78	Gender	Male		
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -		
Initial Diagnosis	Divorced Parents-PIC-Puberty-R/O ADHD				
Current Medication	Medication free				

Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -Dr Torabi





Z Score Summary Information (EC)




































Z Score Summary Information (EO)

























E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







Arousal Level





E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)











APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 10.00

Posterior APF= 10.00


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 10.00


Posterior APF= 10.25

EEG Spectra





Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking







QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

two charts, calculate probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.





Report

گزارش: 1
نتایج تشخیصی: 1
1






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution



About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.





Pathological assessment for ADHD

Compare to ADHD Database






















EEG Compatibility with ADHD Diagnosis

ADHD Table		EC	EO			
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region		
Increased rDelta	1.00	global	1.00	global		
Increased rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Increased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Decreased SMR	-1.00	global	-2.00	global		
Increased T/B Ratio	0.50	Cz	0.50	Fz and Cz		
ADHD	20	1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3 3 4 0 5 0 6 0 ADHD Compatibility	70	80 90 100		
ADHD Probability						

Arousal Level Detection



ADHD Clustering


1. Same inattentive and hyperactive prevalence. Well respond to stimulants.

^{*} If there is Paroxymal epileptic discharge in EEG data, this case needs sufficient sleep and should avoid high carbohydrate intake. You can consider anticonvulsant medications.






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	3	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
EEG Qual	lity	good		Total Recording Time Remaining 244.15 sec		

Denoising Information (EO)




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	3	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
0						
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	197.02 sec	







Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮

















Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮



















Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢





Relative Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢

