





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Yalda Khalili	Date of Recording	27-Oct-2024				
Date of Birth - Age	03-Jan-1999 - 25.82	Gender	Female				
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -				
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-R/O Adult ADHD						
Current Medication	Medication free						

Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -Dr Torabi





Z Score Summary Information (EC) 🥟



Coherence












Z Score Summary Information (EO)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level











APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 09.00

Posterior APF= 10.38


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.25

Posterior APF= 10.62


EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking







QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

two charts, calculate probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.





Report

گزارش: 1
نتایج تشخیصی:
1






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution



About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.





Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD

Compare to Mood Disorders Database





















Compare to adult ADHD Database





















EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC		EO			
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region		
Increased Global rAlpha	0.50	global	0.50	global		
Increased global rTheta	0.50	global	0.00	NAN		
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN	-0.50	LT-RT-C-P-O-		
Increased rBeta 0.00		NAN	0.00	NAN		
Left FAA	0.00	NAN	-0.01	Left FAA		
Right OAA 0.04		Right OAA	0.06	Right OAA		
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN 0.00		NAN		
Increased Coherence (A, B)	2.00	Increased Coherence	2.00	Increased Coherence		
depression 0 10	1 20		70	80 90 100		
Depression Probability						





EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table		EC	EO				
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region			
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN			
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN			
Right FAA	Right FAA 0.04 Right FAA		0.00	NAN			
Left OAA	Left OAA 0.00 NAN		0.00	NAN			
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.12	Increased IAF	0.00	NAN			
Anxiety 0 10	20	1 1 1 1 1 30 40 50 60 Anxiety Compatibility	1 70	80 90 100			
Anxiety Probability							

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis


Mood Swings Table	EC			EO				
Feature Name	Threshold	Region		Threshold	Region			
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN		0.00	NAN			
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	Increased (rDelta+rTheta) 0.00 NAN			0.00	NAN			
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN		0.00	NAN			
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN		0.00	NAN			
Right FAA	0.04	Right FAA		0.00	NAN			
BMD 10	20 3		60 lity	T 70	80	90	100	
Mood Swings Probability								

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions




Arousal Level Detection






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	2	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage		
0				0		
EEG Quali	ty	bad		Total Recording Time Remaining 225.52 sec		

Denoising Information (EO)




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	3	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
				0		
EEG Quality		bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	242.42 sec	






Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮





Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮








Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢





Relative Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢

