





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Kosar Jafari	Date of Recording	04-Nov-2024			
Date of Birth - Age	06-Oct-2002 - 22.08	Gender	Female			
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -			
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-PTSD					
Current Medication	Buspirone-Imipramine-Olanzapine-Zolpidem					

Asayesh Psychiatric Clinic -Dr Torabi





### Z Score Summary Information (EC)


























### Z Score Summary Information (EO)





























### E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)







### Arousal Level





# E.O.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)











### APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 09.92

Posterior APF= 10.38


### APF(EC)




**Frontal APF= 10.75** 

Posterior APF= 10.75


### EEG Spectra



### Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




### Alpha Blocking







### QEEG based predicting medication response



#### Explanation



#### Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

two charts, calculate probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.





# Report

<b>گزارش:</b> 1
نتایج تشخیصی <b>:</b> 1







#### rTMS Response Prediction

#### Network Performance


Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution



#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.





# Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD

### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**





















### Compare to adult ADHD Database





















### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression Table	ble EC			EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region Threshold		Region		
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	NAN			
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.50	global		
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Left FAA	-0.19	Left FAA	-0.32	Left FAA		
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Decreased Coherence (D, T) 0.00 NAN 0.00		NAN				
Increased Coherence (A, B) 2.00		Increased Coherence	1.00	Increased Coherence		
depression 0 10	) 20	30 40 50 60 Depression Compatibility	) 70	80 90 100		
Depression Probability						





### **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**

Anxiety Table		EC	EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-P-	-1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Left OAA	Left OAA -0.02 Le		-0.08	Left OAA	
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.25	Increased IAF	0.00	NAN	
Anxiety 0 10	20	30 40 50 60 Anxiety Compatibility	1 70	80 90 100	
Anxiety Probability					

### **EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis**


Mood Swings Table		EC	EO			
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region		
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-P-	-1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-		
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	LF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	1.00	MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-		
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN		
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Alpha		
Right FAA	Right FAA 0.00 NAN 0.00		0.00	NAN		
BMD 10	20 (	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	70	80 90 100		
Mood Swings Probability						

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

## Cognitive Functions




### Arousal Level Detection






### **Denoising Information (EC)**



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	2	Muscle	2			
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage				
			0			
<b>EEG Qualit</b>	y	good		<b>Total Recording Time Remaining</b> 267.16 sec		

# **Denoising Information (EO)**




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	4	Muscle	1	0		
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage				
0		0				
<b>EEG Quality</b>		bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	217.83 sec	







# Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) ớ








### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮
















# Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 📀





### Relative Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢

