

Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Mahnaz Fahimikia	Date of Recording	22-Dec-2024			
Date of Birth - Age	06-Apr-1991 - 33.71	Gender	Female			
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Movasat-talent-center			
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Attention Deficit					
Current Medication		-				

Movasat-talent-center

Summary Report












Z-score Information



Compatibility with Depression



Compatibility with Mood Swing




Arousal Level




APF

Posterior APF-EC= 10.50 Posterior APF-EO= 10.88


O



Compatibility with Anxiety



TMS Responsibility



Cognitive Performance




To investigate QEEG-based predicting medication response, please refer to the Report.






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	0	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
0						
EEG Qual	ity	bad		Total Recording Time Remaining 186.94 sec		

Denoising Information (EO)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	0	Muscle	2	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
				0		
EEG Quality bad		bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	176.72 sec	





Pathological assessment for mood disorders and adult ADHD

Compare to Mood Disorders Database





















Compare to Adult ADHD Database

























EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis





EEG Compatibility with Mood Swing Diagnosis *






^{*} This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).





Depression and Adult ADHD Diagnosis Probabiliy



Cognitive Functions Asessment



Arousal Level Detection








rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 10.17

Posterior APF= 10.88


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 10.58

Posterior APF= 10.50


EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



Alpha Blocking







Z Score Summary Information (EC)


























Z Score Summary Information (EO)





























E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)

ThetaBeta EC




E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level











Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮







Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮














Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢





Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

