





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Afsane Bakhshiaghouzdare	Date of Recording	17-Apr-2024				
Date of Birth - Age	21-Mar-1973 - 51.07	Gender	Female				
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi				
Initial Diagnosis	Memory Problem						
Current Medication	Medication Free						

Dr Masjedi





# Denoising Information (EC)




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	2	Muscle	0	()				
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage						
0		0						
EEG Quality bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	283.01 sec					





# Pathological assessment for mood disorders


### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**



### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

De	epression Table	EC							
	Feature Name	Threshold Region							
Increa	ased Global rAlpha	1.00	0 global						
Incre	ased global rTheta	0.00	00 NAN						
De	ecreased rDelta	0.00	NAN						
lr	ncreased rBeta	0.00	NAN						
	Left FAA	0.00	NAN						
	Right OAA	0.18	Right OAA						
Decrea	ased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence (D,T)						
Increa	sed Coherence (A, B)	1.00	Increased Coherence (A,B)						
	depression 0	10 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Probability						
	Depression Probability								

# **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**







### **EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis \***

Mood Swings Table	EC									
Feature Name	Threshold		Region							
Decreased rAlpha	0.00		NAN							
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00		RF-LT-RT-O-							
Increased rBeta	0.00		NAN							
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50		Decreased Alpha Coherence							
Right FAA	0.10	Right FAA								
BMD 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Mood Swings Probability										

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).


### **Depression Severity**



### **Anxiety Severity**



# Cognitive Functions




### Arousal Level Detection







### QEEG based predicting medication response



### **Explanation**



These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






#### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


#### Participants Information




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






### Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



### IAF(EC)




Eye Close IAF= 10.00


# Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮


















### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮




# TBI Probability

**TBI Probability** 

98%












# Z Score Summary Information (EC)




# E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)





# EEG Spectra



# Arousal Level

