





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

## Report Description

## Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Aliakbar Rahbari	Date of Recording	09-Apr-2024			
Date of Birth - Age	22-Mar-1935 - 89.05	Gender	Male			
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi			
Initial Diagnosis	Memory Problem					
Current Medication	Medication Free					

Dr Masjedi





## Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage		
Eye	2	Muscle 0		0		
Total Arti	fact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
				0		
<b>EEG Quali</b>	ity	bad		<b>Total Recording Time Remaining</b> 100.44 sec		





### Pathological assessment for mood disorders

#### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**












### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression Table	EC							
Feature Name	Threshold	Region						
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN						
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN						
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN						
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN						
Left FAA	0.00	NAN						
Right OAA	0.00	NAN						
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN						
Increased Coherence (A, B) 0.00		NAN						
depression 0	10 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100  Depression Probability						
Depression Probability								

### **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**







### **EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis \***

M	lood Swings Table	EC											
	Feature Name	Threshold	Т	Region									
0	ecreased rAlpha	-1.00		LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-									
Incre	eased (rDelta+rTheta)	2.00				L	F-RF-M	IF-LT-R	Г-С-Р-С	)-			
	Increased rBeta	0.00		NAN									
Decre	ased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	.50 Decreased Alpha Coherence										
	Right FAA	0.19 Right FAA											
	BMD -	10 2	1	30	40	50	1 60	70	80	90	100		
	Mood Swings Probability												

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

**Anxiety Severity** 

Moderate

Severe

Extreme


Mild

### **Depression Severity**





### Arousal Level Detection








### Pathological assessment for Dementia


### **Compare to Dementia Database**



### **Dementia Probability**

	Dementia Table	EC					
	Feature Name	Threshold	Region				
	Increased rDelta	3.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-				
	Increased rTheta	-1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-				
	Decreased rAlpha	-2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-				
	Decreased rBeta	0.50	RT-O-				
li	ncreased T/A Ratio	3.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-				
h	ncreased D/A Ratio	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-				
Decrea	sed (D+T+A+B) Coherence	0.00	NAN				
	dementia	10 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Dementia Probability				
	Dementia Probability						


### **Cognitive Impairment Severity**







#### QEEG based predicting medication response



### **Explanation**

**──** Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






#### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


#### Participants Information




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






### Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



### IAF(EC)




Eye Close IAF= 07.75

### 🚃 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮





































### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮





**TBI Probability** 












### Z Score Summary Information (EC)




### E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)





### EEG Spectra



### Arousal Level

