





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Amirreza Bagheri	Date of Recording	12-Oct-2024				
Date of Birth - Age	10-Dec-2004 - 19.84	Gender	Male				
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Moradkhani				
Initial Diagnosis	Autism-ADHD-GAD-Social Anxiety						
Current Medication	Medication Free						

Dr Moradkhani






### **Denoising Information (EC)**



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage	Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	2	Muscle	0				
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage	High Artifact Percentage			
			0	0			
<b>EEG Qualit</b>	У	bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	221.30 sec		

# **Denoising Information (EO)**



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	2	Muscle	0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage				
0		0				
<b>EEG Quality</b>		bad		<b>Total Recording Time Remaining</b> 188.98 se		





### Pathological assessment for mood disorders

### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**






















### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression Table		EC	EO				
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region			
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00	global	0.00	NAN			
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.50	global			
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	0.00	NAN			
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN			
Left FAA	-0.34	Left FAA	-0.31	Left FAA			
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN			
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Coherence			
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN			
depression 0 10	0 20	30 40 50 6 Depression Compatibility		80 90 100			
Depression Probability							

# **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**








### EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis\*

Mood Swings Tab	le	EC				EO				
Feature Name		Threshold		Reg	ion		Threshold	nold		on
Decreased rAlpha	a	0.00		NAN		0.00	NAN		N	
Increased (rDelta+rT	heta)	0.00		NAN		0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-C-P-O-			
Increased rBeta		0.00	NAN		0.00	NAN				
Decreased Alpha Cohe	rence	0.00		NAN		0.00	NAN			
Right FAA		0.00		N/	AΝ		0.00	00 NAN		N
BMD0	10	20	30	I 40 Mood S	50 Swing Comp	60 atibility	70	80	90	100
Mood Swings Probability										

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

### Arousal Level Detection







# Pathological assessment for adult ADHD

### Compare to Adult ADHD Database






















# **Cognitive Functions**




### **Arousal Level Detection**







### **QEEG** based predicting medication response



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







### rTMS Response Prediction

#### Network Performance


Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




#### Features Information



#### Responsibility



#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






## APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 09.58

Posterior APF= 09.25


## APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.08

Posterior APF= 09.25


### EEG Spectra



### Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




### Alpha Blocking









### Z Score Summary Information (EC)




### Z Score Summary Information (EO)





### E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)







## E.O.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)





### Arousal Level













# Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣
















# Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) ớ



















# Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 📀











# Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

