





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Asie Heydarhaei	Date of Recording	23-Sep-2024	
Date of Birth - Age	21-Mar-1978 - 46.51	Gender	Female	
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi	
Initial Diagnosis		Anxiety-Dementia-Headache		
Current Medication		Medication Free		

Dr Masjedi





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye 3 Muscle 1			0			
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage				
()		0				
EEG Quali	ty	bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	338.49 sec	





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table					E	EC					
Feature Name	Threshold	hreshold Region									
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00		NAN								
Increased global rTheta	0.50					glo	obal				
Decreased rDelta	0.00					N.	AN				
Increased rBeta	0.00					N.	AN				
Left FAA	0.00		NAN								
Right OAA	0.01					Righ	t OAA				
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50				Decre	eased Co	herence	e (D,T)			
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00					N.	AN				
depression					-	!					
0 1	0 20	30	40		50 on Comp	60 atibility	70	80	90	100	
		(Depre	ssion P	robabil	ity					

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

Mood Swings Table	EC									
Feature Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Decreased rAlpha	-1.00	-1.00 LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	1.00 LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Increased rBeta	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	-0.50 Decreased Alpha Coherence								
Right FAA	0.08	0.08 Right FAA								
BMD 10	20	30 40 Mood S	50 wing Compat	60 tibility	70	80	90	100		
Mood Swings Probability										

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Depression Severity

Mild Borderline Moderate Severe Extreme

Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions




Arousal Level Detection







QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




Features Information





Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 11.08

Posterior APF= 11.50

🚃 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🐠




















































TBI Severity



🚃 Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🐠














TBI Probability

TBI Probability




















Z Score Summary Information (EC)




E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra



Arousal Level

