





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Beygom Poodineh	Date of Recording	20-Aug-2024	
Date of Birth - Age	01-Sep-1947 - 76.97	Gender	Female	
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Ms Mazandarani	
Initial Diagnosis	MDD-PD			
Current Medication	Tris Orix-Alzixa-Ripzapine-Elazine-Rheumatoid Meloxicam-Demperidone- Ritalin- Oxapam- Madopar-Meloxicam			

Ms Mazandarani



Raw EEG



Denoising Information (EC)

Denoising information (EC)

Book of the provided of the pr

The state of the s

Flat Channels




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements

0

Total Artifact Percentage



Denoised EEG ===



Total Recording Time Remaining 184.61 sec

Denoising Information (EO)

Raw EEG

EEG Quality

Eye

Ep 1 Ex 1.4. Language production of the product

Muscle

good

0

Denoised EEG

Flat Channels





Rejected Channels

Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye 1 Muscle 0		()			
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage			
0					
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	183.66 sec





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database





















EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	1.00	global
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	MF-LT-RT-C-P-	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-
Increased rBeta	0.50	RF-MF-C-P-	0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-
Left FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Right OAA	0.07	Right OAA	0.09	Right OAA
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	-0.50	Decreased Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Coherence
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
depression 0	10 20	30 40 50 60 Depression Probability	70 80	90 100
Depression Probability				

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC		EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Increased rBeta	0.50	RF-MF-C-P-	0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-	
Right FAA	0.09	Right FAA	0.08	Right FAA	
Left OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Anxiety 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Anxiety Probability					
Anxiety Probability					





EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis*

Mood Swings Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.50	RF-MF-C-P-	0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha	-0.50	Decreased Alpha
Right FAA	0.09	Right FAA	0.08	Right FAA
BMD 0	10 20	1 1 1 1 30 40 50 60	70 80	90 100
Mood Swings Probability				

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Depression Severity

Anxiety Severity

Mild	Borderline	Moderate	Severe	Extreme



Arousal Level Detection







Pathological assessment for Dementia

Compare to Dementia Database






















Dementia Probability

Dementia Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased rDelta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased rTheta	0.50	LT	0.50	LT
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased T/A Ratio	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased D/A Ratio	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased (D+T+A+B) Coherence	-0.50	Decreased global	-0.50	Decreased global
dementia 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 20	30 40 50 60 Dementia Probability	T I I 70 80	90 100
Dementia Probability				


Cognitive Impairment Severity







QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation



These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 10.00

Posterior APF= 08.50


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.88

Posterior APF= 08.50

EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking









Z Score Summary Information (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EO)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)






E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level











Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮



Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮








Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢





Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

