




QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4

Report Description




Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Elnaz Kazemi	Date of Recording	17-Aug-2024
Date of Birth - Age	21-Feb-1990 - 34.49	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Left	Source of Referral	Ms Ghandhari
Initial Diagnosis	Depression		
Current Medication	Medication Free		

Ms Ghandhari

Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG



Denoised EEG

Flat Channels




Rejected Channels




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	2	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	584.65 sec

Pathological assessment for mood disorders


Compare to Mood Disorders Database




EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis



EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis




EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *



* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).


Depression Severity




Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection




rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility




Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between rTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.

Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




IAF(EC)




Frontal APF= 08.75

Posterior APF= 09.25


Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EC)



E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)



Arousal Level



EEG Spectra

