





Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Hamid Badin	Date of Recording	02-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	28-Apr-1998 - 26.43	Gender	Male
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Sahraian
Initial Diagnosis		Schizophrenia	
Current Medication		Aripiprazole	

Dr Sahraian





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage					
Eye	0	Muscle 0					
Total Arti	tal Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
	0			0			
EEG Qual	ity	good		Total Recording Time Remaining 435.13 sec			





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC						
Feature Name	Threshold	old Region					
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00	global					
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN					
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-C-P-					
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN					
Left FAA	-0.03	Left FAA					
Right OAA	0.30	Right OAA					
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN					
Increased Coherence (A, B)	1.00	Increased Coherence (A,B)					
depression							
0 1	0 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Compatibility					
		Depression Probability					

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

0.00 0.00					gion							
						Region						
0.00			NAN									
	NAN											
0.00	NAN											
0.00	NAN						NAN					
Right FAA 0.00				NAN								
	-		- 1	7				-				
20	30	40 Mood (50 Swing Comp	60 atbility	70	80	90	100				
	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 20 30 40 80 Mood Swing Comp	0.00 N	0.00 NAN 0.00 NAN 20 30 40 60 60 70 Mood Swing Compatibility	0.00 NAN 0.00 NAN 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 Mood Swing Compatibility	0.00 NAN 0.00 NAN 20 30 40 80 60 70 80 90 Mood Swing Compatibility				

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Depression Severity




Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions




Arousal Level Detection







QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







rTMS Response Prediction

Network Performance


Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




Features Information







Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



IAF(EC)





Frontal APF= 10.75


Posterior APF= 10.75

Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🀠













Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🐠


















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra















Arousal Level

