





# QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Hossein Kheybariyan	Date of Recording	06-Oct-2024						
Date of Birth - Age	21-Mar-1979 - 45.54	Gender	Male						
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi						
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Dementia-Depression-Headache								
Current Medication	Medication Free								

Dr Masjedi





# Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye 3 Muscle 0								
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage				
				0				
EEG Quality bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	301.30 sec					





## Pathological assessment for mood disorders

#### **Compare to Mood Disorders Database**












#### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression	on Table		EC								
Feature	Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Increased Glo	obal rAlpha	1.00	1.00 global								
Increased glo	obal rTheta	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Decrease	d rDelta	-0.50	-0.50 P-O-								
Increase	d rBeta	0.00	NAN								
Left F	AA	-0.32	-0.32 Left FAA								
Right	OAA	0.00	NAN								
Decreased Coh	erence (D, T)	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Increased Coh	erence (A, B)	0.00				N/	λN				
depress		10 20	30	40 Depress	50 sion Compati	60 bility	70	80	90	100	
Depression Probability											

## **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**







### **EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis \***

Mod	od Swings Table	EC									
F	eature Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Dec	creased rAlpha	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Increas	sed (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Inc	creased rBeta	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Decreas	sed Alpha Coherence	-0.50	-0.50 Decreased Alpha Coherence								
Right FAA 0.00				NAN							
	BMD 0 10	20	30	40 Mood S	50 Swing Compa	l 60 atibility	1 70	I 80	90	100	
Mood Swings Probability											

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

## **Depression Severity**

# Mild Borderline Moderate Severe Extreme


## **Anxiety Severity**



## Cognitive Functions




### Arousal Level Detection







### QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

## **Explanation**



#### Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







#### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




#### Features Information





#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






## Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



## APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 09.50

**Posterior APF= 09.50** 

## 🚃 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣
















































## TBI Severity



## Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣












## TBI Probability

**TBI Probability** 


















## Z Score Summary Information (EC)





## E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)





### **EEG** Spectra





### Arousal Level

