



QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4



Report Description



Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Leila Kashani	Date of Recording	20-Aug-2024
Date of Birth - Age	23-Mar-1962 - 62.41	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Movasatschool
Initial Diagnosis	Depression-tumor		
Current Medication	Hydroxyurea		

Movasatschool

Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG

Denoised EEG



Flat Channels

Rejected Channels




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	1	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	
				278.19 sec	

Denoising Information (EO)

Raw EEG

Denoised EEG



Flat Channels


Rejected Channels



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	2	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	
				252.67 sec	

Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased global rTheta	1.00	global	0.50	global
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.50	C-P-O-
Left FAA	-0.01	Left FAA	0.00	NAN
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Coherence
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.50	Increased Coherence	0.00	NAN

Depression Probability

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	-0.50	O
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.50	C-P-O-
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.06	Right FAA
Left OAA	-0.02	Left OAA	-0.11	Left OAA
Increased IAF > 10.6	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN

Anxiety Probability

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *


Mood Swings Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	-0.50	O
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-O-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.50	C-P-O-
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha	-0.50	Decreased Alpha
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.06	Right FAA

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).


Depression Severity



Anxiety Severity




Arousal Level Detection



Pathological assessment for Dementia

Compare to Dementia Database



Dementia Probability


Dementia Table	EC		EO	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region
Increased rDelta	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	1.00	LF-LT-RT-O-
Increased rTheta	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	-0.50	O
Decreased rBeta	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	-0.50	LF-RT-
Increased T/A Ratio	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-
Increased D/A Ratio	2.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-	0.50	LF-LT-RT-O-
Decreased (D+T+A+B) Coherence	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased global

dementia

Dementia Probability

Dementia Probability

Cognitive Impairment Severity




rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility




Data Distribution



About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between rTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.


APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 07.75

Posterior APF= 08.08



APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 08.38

Posterior APF= 07.50

EEG Spectra




Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking



Z Score Summary Information (EC)



Z Score Summary Information (EO)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)




E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)




Arousal Level




Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)



Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)



Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO)



Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

