





# Report Description

# Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Mahin Javid	Date of Recording	29-Sep-2024	
Date of Birth - Age	23-May-1981 - 43.35	Gender	Female	
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Soshiyan Center Clinic	
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Cognitive Problem-Memo	ry Problem-Migraine-OCD-Post	natal Depression-Sleep Problems	
Current Medication	Fluv	oxamine-Lamotrigine-Trazoo	done	

Soshiyan Center Clinic





# Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage					
Eye 3 Muscle 4				0			
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage					
	0			0			
<b>EEG Qual</b>	ity	good		Total Recording Time Remaining 312.9	90 sec		





### Pathological assessment for mood disorders

### Compare to Mood Disorders Database












#### **EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis**

Depression Table	EC										
Feature Name	Threshold	Region									
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00		NAN								
Increased global rTheta	0.00		NAN								
Decreased rDelta	0.00		NAN								
Increased rBeta	1.00		LF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Left FAA	0.00	NAN									
Right OAA	0.00	NAN									
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN									
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00				N.	AN					
depression		1	4	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	1			
0 1	0 20	30	40 Depre	50 ession Comp	60 atibility	70	80	90	100		

## **EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis**







### EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis \*

Mood Swings Table		EC								
Feature Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	-0.50 RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	1.00 RF								
Increased rBeta	1.00	0 LF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha Coherence								
Right FAA	0.05	0.05 Right FAA								
BMD =	-	-	-					-		
0 10	20	30	40 Mood S	50 Swing Comp	60 atibility	70	80	90	100	
			Mood Sw	vings Proba	ibility					

\* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

### Depression Severity



### Anxiety Severity



## Cognitive Functions




### Arousal Level Detection







### QEEG based predicting medication response



### **Explanation**

Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







#### rTMS Response Prediction


#### Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




#### Features Information





#### Data Distribution




#### About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






## Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



## IAF(EC)





Frontal APF= 09.83


**Posterior APF= 10.75** 

## Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🀠











### Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🀠
















### Z Score Summary Information (EC)





### E.C.T/B Ratio ( Raw- Z Score)







### EEG Spectra







## Arousal Level



Normal

High arousal

Low Arousal