





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Mahsa Rahbari	Date of Recording	16-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	21-Mar-1990 - 34.57	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Dementia-Depression-Headache		
Current Medication	Medication Free		

Dr Masjedi





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	3	Muscle	0	0	
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage			
		0			
EEG Quality good		Total Recording Time Remaining 439.21 s	ec		





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC			
Feature Name	Threshold	Region		
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN		
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN		
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN		
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN		
Left FAA	-0.02	Left FAA		
Right OAA	0.04	Right OAA		
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN		
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.50	0.50 Increased Coherence (A,B)		
depression 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Compatibility		
Depression Probability				

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

Mood Swings Table	EC		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.50	LF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha Coherence	
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	
BMD 10	20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Mood Swing Compatibility	
Mood Swings Probability			

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions

Arousal Level Detection










•QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%







Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 09.92

Posterior APF= 10.50

🚃 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣
















































TBI Severity



Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣




































TBI Probability

TBI Probability 15% 85%







Z Score Summary Information (EC)




E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra



Arousal Level

