





Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Maryam Bagheri	Date of Recording	05-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	24-Mar-1979 - 45.53	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Soshiyan Center Clinic
Initial Diagnosis	Adult ADHD -Anxiety-Cognitive Problem-Migraine		
Current Medication	Medication Free		

Soshiyan Center Clinic





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	1	Muscle	0	0	
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
		0			
EEG Quality good		Total Recording Time Remaining 235.54 sec	;		





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table		EC		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region		
Increased Global rAlp	ha 0.50	global		
Increased global rThe	ta 0.50	global		
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-		
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN		
Left FAA	0.00	NAN		
Right OAA	0.00	Right OAA		
Decreased Coherence (D	O.00	NAN		
Increased Coherence (A,	B) 0.50	Increased Coherence (A,B)		
depression 0	10 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Compatibility		
Depression Probability				


EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *



* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Depression Severity

Anxiety Severity





Arousal Level Detection







Pathological assessment for adult ADHD

Compare to Adult ADHD Database












Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection




Adult ADHD Severity







QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation

Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.





rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information Distribution of Gender 44% 40% 50% 20% 10%




Features Information







Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




APF(EC)





Frontal APF= 10.17


Posterior APF= 10.38

Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮













Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮
















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra





Arousal Level

