





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Mozhghan Maleki	Date of Recording	27-Jul-2024					
Date of Birth - Age	23-Mar-1970 - 54.34	Gender	Female					
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Ms Abbasi					
Initial Diagnosis	Depression-OCD-Anxiety-family history of Alzheimer's							
Current Medication	Venlafaxine-Pranol							

Ms Abbasi





Denoising Information (EC)




Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	1	Muscle	0	0				
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage				
0								
EEG Quali	ity	good		Total Recording Time Remaining 177.36 sec				





Pathological assessment for mood disorders


Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC									
Feature Name	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00	1.00 global								
Increased global rTheta	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	-0.50 LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-								
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN								
Left FAA	-0.08	Left FAA								
Right OAA	0.00	NAN								
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN								
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN								
depression 0	10 20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Depression Probability								
Depression Probability										

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

M	ood Swings Table		EC										
	Feature Name	Thresh	old	Region									
D	ecreased rAlpha	0.0	0	NAN									
Incre	eased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00		NAN									
	Increased rBeta	0.00		NAN									
Decre	ased Alpha Coherence	0.0	0	NAN									
	Right FAA	0.00		NAN									
	BMD -	1	1	1	-	ı	T	ı	ı	ı			
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
Mood Swings Probability													

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).


Depression Severity



Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection








rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



IAF(EC)




Frontal APF= 09.75


Posterior APF= 09.75


Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮













Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)





















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





EEG Spectra





Arousal Level

