





npcindex @www.npcindex.com \$021-44 47 74 67

QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Neda Ghorbani	Date of Recording	08-Oct-2024						
Date of Birth - Age	29-Oct-1992 - 31.94	Gender	Female						
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Sahraian						
Initial Diagnosis	MDD								
Current Medication	Medication Free								

Dr Sahraian





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	2 Muscle 1			0				
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage				
				0				
EEG Quality good		Total Recording Time Remaining	244.13 sec					





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database










EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Ta	ble		EC									
Feature Nam	е	Threshold	Threshold Region									
Increased Global I	rAlpha	0.00	NAN									
Increased global i	Theta	1.00		global								
Decreased rDe	lta	0.00		NAN								
Increased rBe	ta	0.50		RF-RT-C-P-O-								
Left FAA		-0.14		Left FAA								
Right OAA		0.16		Right OAA								
Decreased Coherence	e (D, T)	0.00		NAN								
Increased Coherenc	e (A, B)	0.00	0.00 NAN									
depression 0		10 20	30	40 D	epression	50 n Compat	l 60 ibility	T 70	80	90	100	
Depression Probability												

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *


Mood Sv	wings Table		EC								
Featu	re Name	Threshold		Region							
Decreas	ed rAlpha	-0.50		LF-RF-MF-RT-C-P-O-							
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00		LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-							
Increas	sed rBeta	0.50	RF-RT-C-P-O-								
Decreased A	lpha Coherence	-0.50	-0.50 Decreased Alpha Coherence								
Right FAA 0.00			NAN								
вмр	0 10	20	30	40 Mood S	50 Swing Compa	60 atibility	70	80	90	100	
Mood Swings Probability											

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions

Arousal Level Detection










QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 09.58


Posterior APF= 11.25

📥 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮













Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮


















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







EEG Spectra









Arousal Level

