




QEEG Clinical Report

BrainLens V0.4



Report Description




Personal & Clinical Data

Name	Nilofar Lahoti	Date of Recording	16-Oct-2024
Date of Birth - Age	13-Feb-1980 - 44.67	Gender	Female
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Reyhan Clinic
Initial Diagnosis	OCD-PoorSleep		
Current Medication	Medication Free		


Reyhan Clinic

Denoising Information (EC)

Raw EEG




Denoised EEG



Flat Channels




Rejected Channels



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage	
Eye	1	Muscle	0		
Total Artifact Percentage				High Artifact Percentage	
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	
				239.66 sec	

Pathological assessment for mood disorders


Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table	EC	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region
Increased Global rAlpha	0.00	NAN
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN
Decreased rDelta	0.00	NAN
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN
Left FAA	-0.12	Left FAA
Right OAA	0.00	NAN
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN
Increased Coherence (A, B)	0.00	NAN

depression



Depression Compatibility

Depression Probability

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis

Anxiety Table	EC	
Feature Name	Threshold	Region
Decreased rAlpha	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN
Right FAA	0.00	NAN
Left OAA	-0.05	Left OAA
Increased IAF > 10.6	1.12	Increased IAF


Anxiety



Anxiety Compatibility

Anxiety Probability

EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *




* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection




rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1%
Sensitivity: 89.13%
Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility




Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between rTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.

Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 11.25

Posterior APF= 11.62


Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EC)



E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)



Arousal Level



EEG Spectra

