





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Saeide Jafari	Date of Recording	14-Jul-2024	
Date of Birth - Age	01-Aug-1973 - 50.95	Gender	Female	
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Ms Jafari	
Initial Diagnosis	Rumination-Anxiety			
Current Medication	Medication Free			

Ms Jafari






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage		
Eye 0 Muscle 0		0			
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
()			()		
EEG Qualit	у	good		Total Recording Time Remaining	264.79 sec

Denoising Information (EO)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	1 Muscle 0		0		
Total Artifact	Percentage			High Artifact Percentage	
0					
EEG Quality		bad		Total Recording Time Remaining	244.77 sec





Pathological assessment for mood disorders


Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table		EC	EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Increased Global rAlpha	1.00	global	0.00	NAN	
Increased global rTheta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	P-O-	0.00	NAN	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Left FAA	-0.02	Left FAA	-0.02	Left FAA	
Right OAA	0.05	Right OAA	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Coherence	
Increased Coherence (A, B)	1.00	Increased Coherence	0.00	NAN	
depression depression 1					
Depression Probability					

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis








EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis*

Mood Swings Table	EC		EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-P-	
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN	-0.50	Decreased Alpha	
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
BMD	10 20	1 1 1 1 30 40 50 60	70 80	90 100	
Mood Swings Probability					

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).


Depression Severity




Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection








rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






IAF(EO)




Eye Open IAF= 09.38

IAF(EC)




Eye Close IAF= 10.75


EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



Alpha Blocking









Z Score Summary Information (EC)







Z Score Summary Information (EO)




E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level










Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮



Absolute power - Eyes Closed


Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) ớ







Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢



Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

