

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Sepide Tarbali	Date of Recording	08-Oct-2024		
Date of Birth - Age	28-Mar-1988 - 36.53	Gender	Female		
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Soshiyan Center		
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety				
Current Medication	Medication Free				

Soshiyan Center





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	1	Muscle	0	0			
Total Arti	Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage			
0			0				
EEG Quality bad			Total Recording Time Remaining 231.74 sec				





Pathological assessment for mood disorders


Compare to Mood Disorders Database



EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression 1	Table Table		EC								
Feature Na	me	Threshold	Threshold Region								
Increased Globa	l rAlpha	0.00		NAN							
Increased globa	l rTheta	0.00		NAN							
Decreased rl	Delta	-0.50		0							
Increased r	Beta	0.00				N	4Ν				
Left FAA		0.00		NAN							
Right OA	A	0.00				N	4N				
Decreased Cohere	nce (D, T)	-0.50		Decreased Coherence (D,T)							
Increased Coherer	nce (A, B)	0.00		NAN							
depression		10 20	30	1 40 De	50 pression Com	60 patibility	T 70	I 80	90	100	
Depression Probability											

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *

Mood Swings Table		EC				
Feature Name	Threshold	Region				
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN				
Increased (rDelta+rThe	ta) 0.50	LF				
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN				
Decreased Alpha Cohere	-0.50	Decreased Alpha Coherence				
Right FAA	0.02	Right FAA				
BMD 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Mood Swing Compatibility				
Mood Swings Probability						

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions

Arousal Level Detection










QEEG based predicting medication response



Effect Size

Explanation



Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com.

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.







rTMS Response Prediction

Network Performance


Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%




Features Information



Probability



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)





Frontal APF= 10.17


Posterior APF= 10.25

Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮













Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮















Z Score Summary Information (EC)



























E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level



EEG Spectra

