





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Vahidreza Ghasemi	Date of Recording	22-Aug-2024		
Date of Birth - Age	13-Apr-1977 - 47.36	Gender	Male		
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Ms Mahmoudi		
Initial Diagnosis	-				
Current Medication	Losartan-Aspirin				

Ms Mahmoudi






Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements			Low Artifact Percentage		
Eye 1 Muscle 0		0	0		
Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage		
			(0)		
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	91.70 sec

Denoising Information (EO)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements		Low Artifact Percentage			
Eye	1	Muscle	0	0	
Total Artifact Percentage		High Artifact Percentage			
		(0)			
EEG Quality		good		Total Recording Time Remaining	130.38 sec





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database




















EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Table		EC	EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Increased Global rAlpha	0.50	global	1.00	global	
Increased global rTheta	1.00	global	1.00	global	
Decreased rDelta	-0.50	LF-MF-	-0.50	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Left FAA	-0.03	Left FAA	-0.03	Left FAA	
Right OAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Coherence (D, T)	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Increased Coherence (A, B)	2.00	0 Increased Coherence 2.00 Increased Coh		Increased Coherence	
depression =					
Depression Probability					

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis








EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis*

Mood Swings Table		EC	EO		
Feature Name	Threshold	Region	Threshold	Region	
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	1.00	RF-MF-RT-C-P-O-	0.00	NAN	
Increased rBeta	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Decreased Alpha Coherence	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
Right FAA	0.00	NAN	0.00	NAN	
BMD O	10 20	1 1 1 1 30 40 50 60	70 80	90 100	
Mood Swings Probability					

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Depression Severity



Anxiety Severity



Cognitive Functions



Arousal Level Detection








rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






APF(EO)



Frontal APF= 09.50

Posterior APF= 09.50


APF(EC)




Frontal APF= 08.00

Posterior APF= 09.38


EEG Spectra



Alpha Asymmetry(AA)




Alpha Blocking









Z Score Summary Information (EC)




Z Score Summary Information (EO)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)







E.O.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)





Arousal Level











Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🌮




Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) ớ







Absolute Power-Eye Open (EO) 🕢



Relative Power-Eye Open (EO)

