





QEEG Clinical Report BrainLens V0.4

Report Description

Personal & Clinical Data


Name	Yousef Raeiji	Date of Recording	14-Oct-2024					
Date of Birth - Age	20-Mar-1996 - 28.57	Gender	Male					
Handedness(R/L)	Right	Source of Referral	Dr Masjedi					
Initial Diagnosis	Anxiety-Headache-Anger							
Current Medication	Medication Free							

Dr Masjedi





Denoising Information (EC)



Number of Eye and Muscle Elements				Low Artifact Percentage				
Eye	ye 1 Muscle 0			0				
Total Arti	Total Artifact Percentage			High Artifact Percentage				
()				0				
EEG Quality bad			Total Recording Time Remaining 303.75 sec					





Pathological assessment for mood disorders

Compare to Mood Disorders Database












EEG Compatibility with Depression Diagnosis

Depression Tab	le		EC								
Feature Name		Threshold	Threshold Region								
Increased Global ra	Alpha	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Increased global r	Γheta	0.00	0.00 NAN								
Decreased rDel	ta	0.00	NAN								
Increased rBet	a	1.00		LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-							
Left FAA		-0.03		Left FAA							
Right OAA		0.00		NAN							
Decreased Coherence	e (D, T)	-0.50		Decreased Coherence (D,T)							
Increased Coherence	(A, B)	0.50	0.50 Increased Coherence (A,B)								
depression 0		10 20	30	40 Depress	l 50 sion Compat	l 60 ibility	1 70	80	90	100	
	Depression Probability										

EEG Compatibility with Anxiety Diagnosis







EEG Compatibility with Mood Swings Diagnosis *


Mood Swings Table		EC							
Feature Name	Threshold	Region							
Decreased rAlpha	0.00	NAN							
Increased (rDelta+rTheta)	0.00	NAN							
Increased rBeta	1.00	LF-RF-MF-LT-RT-C-P-O-							
Decreased Alpha Coherence	-0.50	Decreased Alpha Coherence							
Right FAA	0.00	NAN							
BMD 0 10	20	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Mood Swing Compatibility							
Mood Swings Probability									

* This index can only be investigated if there are symptoms of mood swings (R/O BMD or R/O mood swings).

Cognitive Functions

Arousal Level Detection










•QEEG based predicting medication response



Explanation

Medication Recommendation

These two tables can be considered the most important finding that can be extracted from QEEG. To prepare this list, the NPCIndex Article Review Team has studied, categorized, and extracted algorithms from many authoritative published articles on predict medication response and Pharmaco EEG studies. These articles are published between 1970 and 2021. The findings extracted from this set include 85 different factors in the raw band domains, spectrum, power, coherence, and loreta that have not been segregated to avoid complexity, and their results are shown in these diagrams. One can review details in NPCIndex.com .

These two charts, calculate response probability to various medications, according only to QEEG indicators. Blue charts favor drug response and red charts favor drug resistance. The longer the bar, the more evidence there is in the articles. Only drugs listed in the articles are listed. These tables present the indicators reviewed in the QEEG studies and are not a substitute for physician selection.






rTMS Response Prediction


Network Performance

Accuracy: 92.1% Sensitivity: 89.13% Specificity: 97.47%


Participants Information




Features Information



Responsibility



Data Distribution




About Predicting rTMS Response

This index was obtained based on machine learning approaches and by examining the QEEG biomarkers of more than 470 cases treated with rTMS. The cases were diagnosed with depression (with and without comorbidity) and all were medication free. By examining more than 40 biomarkers capable of predicting response to rTMS treatment in previous studies and with data analysis, finally 10 biomarkers including bispectral and nonlinear features entered the machine learning process. The final chart can distinguish between RTMS responsive and resistant cases with 92.1% accuracy. This difference rate is much higher than the average response to treatment of 44%, in the selection of patients with clinical criteria, and is an important finding in the direction of personalized treatment for rTMS.






Alpha Asymmetry(AA)



APF(EC)



Frontal APF= 11.08

Posterior APF= 11.13

🚃 Absolute Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🠠

































TBI Severity

							. 1			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Relative Power-Eye Closed (EC) 🤣





























TBI Probability

TBI Probability





















Z Score Summary Information (EC)





E.C.T/B Ratio (Raw- Z Score)






EEG Spectra







Arousal Level

